

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
			M6 - HK baptist			when one		Possible reason:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.
			Church henrietta			documented			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
X_10N120	7-Mar-13	15:10	Secondary School	71	Leq(30-min)	complaint was	70		
			,			received.			
								Action taken / to be taken:	Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of
									contractor's working procedure.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No work for Contract HY/2009/19 was conducted during the measurement, it was observed that traffic
								Remarks/ Other Obs.	noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance is not due to
									project but to traffic noise nearby.
			M6 - HK baptist			when one		Possible reason:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.
			Church henrietta			documented		r obsibie reason.	Taile hearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major holde contribution.
X_10N121	12-Mar-13	14:25	Secondary School	72	Leq(30-min)	complaint was	70		
			Occorridary Corroor			received.			
						received.		Action taken / to be taken:	Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of
								Action taken / to be taken.	contractor's working procedure.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No work for Contract HY/2009/19 was conducted during the measurement, it was observed that traffic
								Remarks / Other Obs:	noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance is not due to
			MO LIK handlat					Describle second	project but to traffic noise nearby.
			M6 - HK baptist Church henrietta			when one		Possible reason:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.
X_10N122	19-Mar-13	15:20		73	Leq(30-min)	documented	70		
			Secondary School			complaint was			
						received.			
								Action taken / to be taken:	Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of
									contractor's working procedure.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No work for Contract HY/2009/19 was conducted during the measurement, it was observed that traffic
									noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance is not due to
									project but to traffic noise nearby.
			M6 - HK baptist			when one		Possible reason:	Several breakers were found to be in operation at marine platform during measurement. No noise
X_10N123	26-Mar-13	15:15	Church henrietta	76	Leg(30-min)	documented	70		screen was provided to the breaker in-use.
	20 110	10.10	Secondary School		209(00)	complaint was			
						received.			
								Action taken / to be taken:	Immediate repeat measurement was conducted to confirm result at the same location . The
									construction noise level of repeat measurement at the same location on the same date was:
									26 March 2013 at 16:00 71 dB(A).
									During the repeat measurement, the breaker was not operating and nearby IEC traffic was observed
									and considered as the major noise contribution.
									Additional monitoring was conducted on 27 March 2013.
									The breaker was operating during measurement and nearby IEC traffic was observed, the construction
									noise level measured was: 27 March 2013 at 16:34 74 dB(A). (Measured Noise level : 75.8 dB(A))
									Further exceedances was still recorded. The temporary noise blanket at marine work platform was
									confirmed in place and addition noise screen was provided to the breakers. In addition, the number of
									breakers operating in parallel was reduced.
									Further repeat measurement was then conducted and the construction noise level measured was as:
									27 March 2013 at 17:05 73 dB(A). (Measured Noise level: 75.3dB(A))
			1						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			1						<b>N</b> I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
			1						No breaking operation was observed during the repeat measurement and the measured noise level
									was remained at the similar level (within 1 dB(A)). For investigation purpose, the measured noise level
			1	1			1		of 75.3 dB(A) (without breaker operation) was taken as the background noise level on that day and by
			1						calculation, the construction noise level was found to be 66.1 dB(A) which is below the stipulated limit
1			1						level. As such, the background IEC traffic was considered as the major noise contribution after
1			1						rectification measures implemented by the contractor and the rectified measures provided by the
1			1						contractor was considered to be effective. It is considered that the major noise contribution was found
1			1						to be the IEC traffic after rectification measures have been implemented by the contractor.
			1				1		
			1				1		
			1				1	Remarks / Other Obs:	Breaking works for Contract HY/2009/19 was conducted during the measurement on 26 March 2013, it
			1				1	Remarks / Other ODS:	
			1						was observed that breaking operation was the major noise contribution during measurement. It is
			1						concluded that the exceedance is project related and the contractor was requested to submit a
1			1						proposal for remediation measures following Event and Action Plan.
			1						
L									